EVALUATION GRID

WILL International Chairs



Université de Lille

sité (FRANCE)

2024 WILL International Chairs Call EVALUATION GRID

TITLE OF THE PROJECT:

ACRONYM:

1) Potential of the project	/25
Quality and originality of the project (potential for progress with respect to the state of the art)	/10
Qualifications of the Chair holder and co-Pl	/10
Involvement of the PI in ULille training offer, more particularly in the Graduate Programs	/5

2) Connection of the chair project with ULille strategy	/10
1.a Will the chair enable to create, enhance or widen the institutional relationships	/5, 0 if 1.b
between Lille university and a key partner (see list in the call for applications) ?	is ranked
1.b If the chair is not connected to a key partner, is there a clear opportunity to create institutional relationship between ULille and the partner?	/5, 0 if 1.a is ranked
Is the chair project clearly positioned in relation to the priorities of the 4 thematic hubs?	/5

3) Implementation of the project	/15
Quality and appropriateness of the methodology	/5
Appropriateness of the means with respect to the objectives (feasibility of the project)	/5
The PhD and postdoc projects are clearly described and scheduled.	/5

4) Impacts of the project	/25
Added value of the chair to strengthen young researchers' career? Is the young (permanent) researcher clearly identified? Will the project enable the young researcher to develop its own project?	/10
Gender equity, open science, data management plan questions are clearly addressed in the proposal.	/5

Ambition for the dissemination and exploitation of the outcomes (scientific, technological, societal, economical and/or cultural impacts)	/5
Capacity to induce applications to European calls (Horizon Europe, ERC)	/5
TOTAL	/45

Choose a letter to assess the global quality and ambition of the project **compared to projects** generally submitted in the same research area:

A+ => Outstanding: Upper 5th percentile of projects in your discipline

A => Excellent: Between the 5th and 20th percentiles of projects in your discipline

B => Very good: Between the 20th and 40th percentiles of projects in your discipline

C => Good: Below the 40th percentile of projects in your discipline

Projects will be ranked and selected based on this letter assessing the excellence within the discipline.

- General Comments
 - Strong points
 - Possible improvement

(to be transmitted to applicant - max 15 lines)